



## A New Approach to the Study of Fixed Point Theory for Simulation Functions

Farshid Khojasteh<sup>a</sup>, Satish Shukla<sup>b</sup>, Stojan Radenović<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Arak-Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Shri Vaishnav Institute of Technology and Science, Gram Baroli Sanwer Road, Indore 453331, India.

<sup>c</sup>Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, 11 120 Beograd, Serbia

**Abstract.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. In this work, we introduce the mapping  $\zeta: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , called the simulation function and the notion of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$  which generalize the Banach contraction principle and unify several known types of contractions involving the combination of  $d(Tx, Ty)$  and  $d(x, y)$ . The related fixed point theorems are also proved.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping, then  $T$  is called a contraction (Banach contraction) on  $X$  if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real such that  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a fixed point of  $T$  if  $Tx = x$ .

The well known Banach contraction principle [1] ensures the existence and uniqueness of fixed point of a contraction on a complete metric space. After this interesting principle, several authors generalized this principle by introducing the various contractions on metric spaces (see, e.g., [2, 4–9]). Rhoades [8], in his work compare several contractions defined on metric spaces.

In this work, we introduce a mapping namely simulation function and the notion of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ . The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction generalize the Banach contraction and unify several known type of contractions involving the combination of  $d(Tx, Ty)$  and  $d(x, y)$  and satisfies some particular conditions in complete metric spaces.

### 2. Main Results

In this section, we define the simulation function, give some examples and prove a related fixed point result.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54H25 ; Secondary 47H10, 54C30

*Keywords.* Contraction mapping; Simulation function;  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction; Fixed point.

Received: 06 October 2013; Accepted: 15 April 2015

Communicated by Vladimir Rakočević

Research supported by Islamic Azad University of Arak

*Email addresses:* f-khojaste@iau-arak.ac.ir (Farshid Khojasteh), satishmathematics@yahoo.co.in (Satish Shukla), radens@beotel.net (Stojan Radenović)

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\zeta: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping, then  $\zeta$  is called a simulation function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- ( $\zeta$ 1)  $\zeta(0, 0) = 0$ ;
- ( $\zeta$ 2)  $\zeta(t, s) < s - t$  for all  $t, s > 0$ ;
- ( $\zeta$ 3) if  $\{t_n\}, \{s_n\}$  are sequences in  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n > 0$  then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0.$$

We denote the set of all simulation functions by  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

Next, we give some examples of the simulation function.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $\zeta_i: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, 3$  be defined by

- (i)  $\zeta_1(t, s) = \psi(s) - \phi(t)$  for all  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $\phi, \psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are two continuous functions such that  $\psi(t) = \phi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$  and  $\psi(t) < t \leq \phi(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ .
- (ii)  $\zeta_2(t, s) = s - \frac{f(t, s)}{g(t, s)}t$  for all  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $f, g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  are two continuous functions with respect to each variable such that  $f(t, s) > g(t, s)$  for all  $t, s > 0$ .
- (iii)  $\zeta_3(t, s) = s - \varphi(s) - t$  for all  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function such that  $\varphi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .

Then  $\zeta_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are simulation functions.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $T: X \rightarrow X$  a mapping and  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Then  $T$  is called a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$  if the following condition is satisfied

$$\zeta(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \tag{1}$$

A simple example of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction is the Banach contraction which can be obtained by taking  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  and  $\zeta(t, s) = \lambda s - t$  for all  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$  in above definition.

We now prove some properties of  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contractions defined on a metric space.

**Remark 2.4.** It is clear from the definition simulation function that  $\zeta(t, s) < 0$  for all  $t \geq s > 0$ . Therefore, if  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  then

$$d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y) \text{ for all distinct } x, y \in X.$$

This shows that every  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction mapping is contractive, therefore it is continuous.

In the following lemma the uniqueness of fixed point of a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction is proved.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Then the fixed point of  $T$  in  $X$  is unique, provided it exists.

*Proof.* Suppose  $u \in X$  be a fixed point of  $T$ . If possible, let  $v \in X$  be another fixed point of  $T$  and it is distinct from  $u$ , that is,  $Tv = v$  and  $u \neq v$ . Now it follows from (1) that

$$0 \leq \zeta(d(Tu, Tv), d(u, v)) = \zeta(d(u, v), d(u, v)).$$

In view of Remark 2.4, above inequality yields a contradiction and proves result.  $\square$

A self map  $T$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be asymptotically regular at point  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = 0$  (see [3]).

The next lemma shows that a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction is asymptotically regular at every point of  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Then  $T$  is asymptotically regular at every  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary. If for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $T^p x = T^{p-1} x$ , that is,  $Ty = y$ , where  $y = T^{p-1} x$ , then  $T^n y = T^{n-1} T y = T^{n-1} y = \dots = T y = y$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now for sufficient large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) &= d(T^{n-p+1} T^{p-1} x, T^{n-p+2} T^{p-1} x) = d(T^{n-p+1} y, T^{n-p+2} y) \\ &= d(y, y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = 0$ .

Suppose  $T^n x \neq T^{n-1} x$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then it follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \zeta(d(T^{n+1} x, T^n x), d(T^n x, T^{n-1} x)) \\ &= \zeta(d(TT^n x, TT^{n-1} x), d(T^n x, T^{n-1} x)) \\ &\leq d(T^n x, T^{n-1} x) - d(T^{n+1} x, T^n x). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality shows that  $\{d(T^n x, T^{n-1} x)\}$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence of nonnegative reals and so it must be convergent. Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, T^{n-1} x) = r \geq 0$ . If  $r > 0$  then since  $T$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  therefore by  $(\zeta 3)$ , we have

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(d(T^{n+1} x, T^n x), d(T^n x, T^{n-1} x)) < 0$$

This contradiction shows that  $r = 0$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = 0$ . Thus  $T$  is an asymptotically regular mapping at  $x$ .  $\square$

The next lemma shows that the Picard sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction is always bounded.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ . Then the Picard sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by  $T$  with initial value  $x_0 \in X$  is a bounded sequence, where  $x_n = T x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and  $\{x_n\}$  be the Picard sequence, that is,  $x_n = T x_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the contrary, assume that  $\{x_n\}$  is not bounded. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $x_{n+p} \neq x_n$  for all  $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is not bounded, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $n_1 = 1$  and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_{k+1}$  is the minimum integer such that

$$d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}) > 1$$

and

$$d(x_m, x_{n_k}) \leq 1 \text{ for } n_k \leq m \leq n_{k+1} - 1.$$

Therefore by the triangular inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}) &\leq d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_{k+1}-1}) + d(x_{n_{k+1}-1}, x_{n_k}) \\ &\leq d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_{k+1}-1}) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and using Lemma 2.6 we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}) = 1.$$

By (1) we have  $d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}) \leq d(x_{n_{k+1}-1}, x_{n_k-1})$ , therefore using the triangular inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}) &\leq d(x_{n_{k+1}-1}, x_{n_k-1}) \\ &\leq d(x_{n_{k+1}-1}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}) \\ &\leq 1 + d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and using Lemma 2.6 we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_{k+1}-1}, x_{n_k-1}) = 1.$$

Now since  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  therefore by  $(\zeta 3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(d(Tx_{n_{k+1}-1}, Tx_{n_k-1}), d(x_{n_{k+1}-1}, x_{n_k-1})) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{n_{k+1}-1}, x_{n_k-1})) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves result.  $\square$

In the next theorem we prove the existence of fixed point of a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$  and for every  $x_0 \in X$  the Picard sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , where  $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  converges to the fixed point of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and  $\{x_n\}$  be the Picard sequence, that is,  $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall show that this sequence is a Cauchy sequence. For this, let

$$C_n = \sup\{d(x_i, x_j) : i, j \geq n\}.$$

Note that the sequence  $\{C_n\}$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence of positive reals and by Lemma 2.7 the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, therefore  $C_n < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $\{C_n\}$  is monotonic bounded sequence, therefore convergent, that is, there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = C$ . We shall show that  $C = 0$ . If  $C > 0$  then by the definition of  $C_n$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n_k, m_k$  such that  $m_k > n_k \geq k$  and

$$C_k - \frac{1}{k} < d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) \leq C_k.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) = C. \tag{2}$$

Using (1) and the triangular inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) &\leq d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}) \\ &\leq d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{m_k}) + d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2, (2) and letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}) = C. \tag{3}$$

Since  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  therefore using (1), (2), (3) and  $(\zeta 3)$ , we have

$$0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(d(x_{m_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}), d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k})) < 0$$

This contradiction proves that  $C = 0$  and so  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is a complete space, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$ . We shall show that the point  $u$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Suppose  $Tu \neq u$  then  $d(u, Tu) > 0$ . Again, using (1),  $(\zeta 2)$  and  $(\zeta 3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(d(Tx_n, Tu), d(x_n, u)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, u) - d(x_{n+1}, Tu)] \\ &= -d(u, Tu). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that  $d(u, Tu) = 0$ , that is,  $Tu = u$ . Thus  $u$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Uniqueness of the fixed point follows from Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

Following example shows that the above theorem is a proper generalization of Banach contraction principle.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Define a mapping  $T: X \rightarrow X$  as  $Tx = \frac{x}{x+1}$  for all  $x \in X$ .  $T$  is a continuous function but it is not a Banach contraction. But it is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ , where

$$\zeta(t, s) = \frac{s}{s+1} - t \text{ for all } t, s \in [0, \infty).$$

Indeed, if  $x, y \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)) &= \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)} - d(Tx, Ty) \\ &= \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|} - \left| \frac{x}{x+1} - \frac{y}{y+1} \right| \\ &= \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|} - \left| \frac{|x - y|}{(x+1)(y+1)} \right| \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that, all the conditions of Theorem 2.8 are satisfied and  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $u = 0 \in X$ .

In the following corollaries we obtain some known and some new results in fixed point theory via the simulation function.

**Corollary 2.10 (Banach Contraction principle [1]).** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta_B: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\zeta_B(t, s) = \lambda s - t \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

Note that, the mapping  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta_B \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Therefore the result follows by taking  $\zeta = \zeta_B$  in Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11 (Rhoades type).** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is lower semi continuous function and  $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta_R: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\zeta_R(t, s) = s - \varphi(s) - t \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

Note that, the mapping  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta_R \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Therefore the result follows by taking  $\zeta = \zeta_R$  in Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

**Remark 2.12.** Note that, in the [9] the function  $\varphi$  is assumed to be continuous and nondecreasing and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = \infty$ . In Corollary 2.11 we replace these conditions by lower semi continuity of  $\varphi$ . Therefore our result is stronger than the original version of Rhoades [9].

**Corollary 2.13.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. Suppose that for every  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a mapping such that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow r^+} \varphi(t) < 1$ , for all  $r > 0$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta_T : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\zeta_T(t, s) = s\varphi(s) - t \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

Note that, the mapping  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta_T \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Therefore the result follows by taking  $\zeta = \zeta_T$  in Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. Suppose that for every  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \eta(d(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\eta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be an upper semi continuous mapping such that  $\eta(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\eta(0) = 0$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta_{BW} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\zeta_{BW}(t, s) = \eta(s) - t \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

Note that, the mapping  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta_{BW} \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Therefore the result follows by taking  $\zeta = \zeta_{BW}$  in Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.15.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \phi(t) dt \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function such that  $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt$  exists and  $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt > \epsilon$ , for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta_K : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\zeta_K(t, s) = s - \int_0^t \phi(u) du \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then,  $\zeta_K \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Therefore the result follows by taking  $\zeta = \zeta_K$  in Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [2] D. W. Boyd, J. S. W. Wong, On nonlinear contractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20 (1969), 458-464.
- [3] F. E. Browder, W. V. Petrysyn, The solution by iteration of nonlinear functional equation in Banach spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1966), 571-576.
- [4] N. Hussain, Zoran Kadelburg, Stojan Radenovic and Falleh R. Al-Solamy, Comparison functions and fixed point results in partial metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 605781, 15 pp.
- [5] N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, S. Khaleghizadeh and P. Salimi, Discussions on recent results for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive mappings, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2014, Article ID 456482, 13 pp.
- [6] F. Khojasteh, V. Rakočević, Some new common fixed point results for generalized contractive multi-valued non-self-mappings, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 25 (2012), 287-293.
- [7] N. Mizoguchi, W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 141 (1989), 177-188.
- [8] B. E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *transactions of the american mathematical society*, 224 (1977), 257-290.
- [9] B. E. Rhoades, Some theorems on weakly contractive maps, *Nonlinear Anal. (TMA)* 47 (2001), 2683-2693.